Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/682,655	SHMULEVICH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDREW GOLDBERG	2491	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>ANDREW GOLDBERG</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Attorney Schuster</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 August 2011.			
Type: Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.		
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)			
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)			
Examiner initiated interview to discuss the terms "master template" and "name-value pair". It was explained that the master template does not contain presentation data, but merely the building blocks to create a device/service specific application. Further, a name-value pair was best understood to the examiner as a name and value correlated with the building blocks (a weather example in the spec was provided). Examiner thanks applicant clarifying the record so that a proper examination may be conducted.			
			
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.			
☐ Attachment			
	/Ashok B. Patel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Ur	nit 2491	

Application No.

Applicant(s)